

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/564,320	Applicant(s) SLETTAYEN, ODD	
	Examiner MONICA A. HUSON	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) MONICA A. HUSON.

(2) Anton Skaugset.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
Knox

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Skaugset called the examiner to go through the Amendment filed 29 January 2010, explaining applicant's position that the amended claims are not anticipated by Knox. The Examiner agreed that the amended claims were not anticipated by Knox. The examiner will call Mr. Skaugset if she has any further questions..